## Electroweak Interactions at an Infinite Sublayer Quark Level

### K. Sugita,<sup>1</sup> Y. Okamoto,<sup>2</sup> and M. Sekine<sup>3</sup>

Received October 8, 1990

In a previous paper, we proposed the infinite sublayer quark model, in which there exists an infinite number of quarks  $u_{\infty}$  and antiquarks  $u_{\infty}^{C}$  at an infinite sublayer level. By applying the standard model of the electroweak interactions to the weak isospin doublet  $(u_{\infty}, u_{\infty}^{C})^{T}$ , it is shown that there exists only one gauge field  $W_{\mu}^{3}$ , from which the electromagnetic field  $A_{\mu} = W_{\mu}^{3} \cos \theta_{W}$  and the neutral vector boson field  $Z_{\mu}^{0} = W_{\mu}^{3} \sin \theta_{W}$  are derived.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Recently the quark model has been widely believed and established in modern particle physics. For example, the proton (p) and the neutron (n)are made of u and d quarks, such as p = uud and n = udd. The sublayer quark model has been proposed by some authors (Pati and Salam, 1974; Terazawa *et al.*, 1977; Glashow, 1977; Ne'eman, 1979; 't Hooft, 1979; Harari, 1979; Shupe, 1979). By analyzing deep inelastic electron-proton scattering, Kogut and Susskind (1974*a*,*b*) considered the existence of a further sublayer parton. Based on this assumption, we proposed the infinite sublayer quark model (Sekine, 1985). This model implied that the proton (p) and the neutron (n)are made of  $u_1$  and  $d_1$  quarks, so that  $p = u_1u_1d_1$  and  $n = u_1d_1d_1$ . Furthermore,  $u_1$  and  $d_1$  quarks are made of  $u_2$  and  $d_2$ , etc. In summary,  $u_N$  and  $d_N$  quarks at level N are made of  $u_{N+1}$  and  $d_{N+1}$  quarks at level N+1, such as  $u_N = (u_{N+1}, u_{N+1}, d_{N+1})$  and  $d_N = (u_{N+1}, d_{N+1}, d_{N+1})$  where

$$N=1, 2, 3, \ldots, \infty$$

#### 1079

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Electronics and Information Engineering, Sun Techno College, 1999-5, Ryuo-Cho, Nakakoma-gun, Yamanashi, Japan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, Chiba Institute of Technology, 2-17-1, Tsudanuma, Narashino-shi, Chiba, Japan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Applied Electronics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259, Nagatsuta, Midoriku, Yokohama, Japan.

Here, the  $u_N$  and  $d_N$  quarks have quantum numbers of spin J=1/2, baryon number  $B=1/3^N$ , isospin I=1/2, third component of isospin  $I_3=\pm 1/2$ , and fractional electric charge  $Q=[(1\pm 3^N)/(2\times 3^N)]|e|$ , where |e| is the electron charge. Thus, at  $N=\infty$ , an infinite number of pointlike quarks  $(u_{\infty})$  and antiquarks  $(u_{\infty}^C=d_{\infty})$  is considered as constituting the nucleon. The superscript C means charge conjugation. The ultimate particle  $u_{\infty}$  has quantum numbers of J=1/2, I=1/2,  $I_3=1/2$ , and Q=1/2|e|. Thus, all quantum numbers of the  $u_{\infty}$  quark are just one-half and this fermion will behave as if it was a lepton, since the baryon number approaches zero at an infinite sublayer level.

Glashow, Weinberg, and Salam proposed the electroweak model of  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ , which is now widely accepted as the standard model in modern particle physics (Glashow, 1961; Weinberg, 1967; Salam, 1968).

In the following, we shall apply this  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$  model to the interactions at the infinite sublayer quark level.

# 2. ELECTROWEAK INTERACTIONS AT THE INFINITE SUBLAYER QUARK MODEL

Consider the quantum numbers of weak isospin  $t_3 = 1/2$  and  $t_3 = -1/2$ for the ultimate particles  $u_{\infty}$  and  $u_{\infty}^C$ , respectively. The hypercharge of  $u_{\infty}$ and  $u_{\infty}^C$  quarks becomes zero by applying the Nishizima–Gell-Mann relation to weak quantum numbers. Furthermore, we assume that  $u_{\infty}$  and  $u_{\infty}^C$  quarks are massless.

Thus, the weak isospin doublet is written as  $(u_{\infty}, u_{\infty}^{C})^{T}$  under SU(2). The superscript T means "transposed."

From these, the Lagrangian of the kinetic energy term and the electroweak interactions at the infinite sublayer level is written as

$$L = \chi_L \gamma^{\mu} (i \partial_{\mu} - (g/2) \tau \cdot \mathbf{W}_{\mu}) \chi_L$$
(1)

where  $\chi_L = (u_{\infty}, u_{\infty}^C)_L^T$ ,  $u_{\infty L}^C$  means charge conjugation operation of  $u_{\infty L}$ , the subscript L means the left-handed particle, g is the coupling constant,  $W_{\mu}$  are three gauge fields of  $SU(2)_L$ , and  $\tau/2$  is a generator of  $SU(2)_L$ .

First, we consider the free term of the Lagrangian in equation (1):

$$L_{\text{free}} = \overline{\chi_L} \gamma^{\mu} i \, \partial_{\mu} \chi_L$$
  
=  $\overline{(u_{\infty})_L} i \gamma^{\mu} \, \partial_{\mu} (u_{\infty})_L + \overline{(u_{\infty}^C)_L} i \gamma^{\mu} \, \partial_{\mu} (u_{\infty}^C)_L$   
=  $\overline{(u_{\infty})_L} i \gamma^{\mu} \, \partial_{\mu} (u_{\infty})_L - [\partial_{\mu} \overline{(u_{\infty})_L}] i \gamma^{\mu} (u_{\infty})_L$  (2)

### **Electroweak Interactions**

From the Euler-Lagrange equation, we obtain the Dirac equation for the massless particle,

$$i\gamma^{\mu}\,\partial_{\mu}(u_{\infty})_{L} = 0 \tag{3}$$

If we consider a right-handed particle singlet, this equation is independent of the left- and right-handed particles, as in the framework of the standard model of  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ .

Next, we will find the conditions that the Lagrangian in equation (1) is invariant under  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ . For simplicity, we consider the infinitesimal  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$  symmetry without losing generality. As seen in equation (1), the term of U(1) does not appear explicitly, since the hypercharge is zero.

Equation (1) is invariant under the following gauge transformation:

$$\mathbf{W}_{\mu}^{\prime} = \mathbf{W}_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu} \mathbf{a} - g \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{W}_{\mu} \tag{4}$$

$$\chi'_{L} = [1 + i(g/2)\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}]\chi_{L}$$
(5)

where  $\alpha$  are parameters in  $SU(2)_L$ .

However,  $u_{\infty}$  and  $u_{\infty}^{C}$  quarks are not independent of each other. Therefore, certain conditions should be added to equation (5). These conditions are derived as follows:

First, we rewrite equation (5) using the isospinor components as

$$(u_{\infty})_{L}^{\prime} = [1 + i(g/2)\alpha^{3}](u_{\infty})_{L} + i(g/2)(\alpha^{1} - i\alpha^{2})C\gamma^{0}(u_{\infty})_{L}^{*}$$
(6)

$$(u_{\infty}^{C})_{L}^{\prime} = i(g/2)(\alpha^{1} + i\alpha^{2})(u_{\infty})_{L} + [1 - i(g/2)\alpha^{3}]C\gamma^{0}(u_{\infty})_{L}^{*}$$
(7)

where C means charge conjugation operator.

From equation (6), we obtain

$$(u_{\infty}^{C})_{L}^{\prime} \equiv (u_{\infty}^{\prime})_{L}^{C} = C\gamma^{0}(u_{\infty}^{\prime})_{L}^{*}$$
  
=  $-i(g/2)C\gamma^{0}(\alpha^{1} + i\alpha^{2})C\gamma^{0}(u_{\infty})_{L}$   
+  $C\gamma^{0}[1 - i(g/2)\alpha^{3}](u_{\infty})_{L}^{*}$  (8)

Equation (7) is equivalent to equation (8) under the following conditions:

$$C\alpha^{1} = -\alpha^{1}C$$

$$C\alpha^{2} = -\alpha^{2}C$$

$$C\alpha^{3} = \alpha^{3}C$$
(9)

From equation (9), it is easily seen that  $\alpha^1 = \alpha^2 = 0$ . In this case,  $W_{\alpha}^1$  and  $W_{\mu}^2$  do not exist and only one gauge field  $W_{\mu}^3$  does exist, since we construct the doublet  $(u_{\infty}, u_{\infty}^C)_L^T$ , and  $u_{\infty L}$  and  $(u_{\infty}^C)_L$  quarks are not independent of each other. From this, we obtain the electromagnetic field

 $A_{\mu} = W_{\mu}^3 \cos \theta_W$  and the neutral vector boson field  $Z_{\mu}^0 = W_{\mu}^3 \sin \theta_W$ , where  $\theta_W$  is the Weinberg angle.

### 3. CONCLUSION

We examined the electroweak interactions of  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$  at the infinite sublayer quark level and concluded that there exists only one gauge field  $W^3_{\mu}$  associated with the electromagnetic field and the neutral vector boson field.

### REFERENCES

Glashow, S. L. (1961). Nuclear Physics, 22, 579.

Glashow, S. L. (1977). Harvard preprint HUTP-77/A005, unpublished.

Harari, H. (1979). Physics Letters, 86B, 83.

Kogut, J., and Susskind, L. (1974a). Physical Review D, 9, 697.

Kogut, J., and Susskind, L. (1974b). Physical Review D, 9, 3391.

Ne'eman, Y. (1979). Physics Letters, 82B, 69.

Pati, J. C., and Salam, A. (1974). Physical Review D, 10, 275.

Salam, A. (1968). Elementary Particle Theory: Relativistic Group and Analyticity, N. Svartholm, ed., Almqvist & Wiksells, Stockholm.

Sekine, M. (1985). International Journal of Theoretical Physics, 24, 701.

Shupe, M. A. (1979). Physics Letters, 86B, 87.

Terazawa, H., Chikashige, Y., and Akama, K. (1977). Physical Review D, 15, 480.

't Hooft, G. (1979). Proceedings of the Einstein Centennial Symposium, Jerusalem.

Weinberg, S. (1967). Physical Review Letters, 19, 1264.